IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

)
) Civil Action No. 06-00369 GMS
)
)
)
)
)
))

SECURE COMPUTING CORPORATION'S PROPOSED SPECIAL JURY VERDICT FORM

ANSWER ALL OF THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:

INFRINGEMENT

HAS FINJAN PROVEN BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE THAT SECURE COMPUTING HAS DIRECTLY INFRINGED ANY CLAIM OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,092,194 ('194 PATENT)?

Answer the following question regarding infringement of the '194 patent with "Yes" or "No." A "Yes" is a finding for Finjan. A "No" is a finding for Secure Computing.

(A)	Claim 1	Yes	No
(B)	Claim 2	Yes	No
(C)	Claim 3	Yes	No
(D)	Claim 4	Yes	No
(E)	Claim 5	Yes	No
(F)	Claim 6	Yes	No
(G)	Claim 7	Yes	No
(H)	Claim 8	Yes	No

(I)	Claim 9	Yes	No
(J)	Claim 10	Yes	No
(K)	Claim 11	Yes	No
(L)	Claim 12	Yes	No
(M)	Claim 13	Yes	No
(N)	Claim 14	Yes	No
(O)	Claim 24	Yes	No
(P)	Claim 25	Yes	No
(Q)	Claim 26	Yes	No
(R)	Claim 27	Yes	No
(S)	Claim 28	Yes	No
(T)	Claim 29	Yes	No
(U)	Claim 30	Yes	No
(V)	Claim 32	Yes	No
(W)	Claim 33	Yes	No
(X)	Claim 34	Yes	No
(Y)	Claim 35	Yes	No
(Z)	Claim 36	Yes	No
(AA)	Claim 65	Yes	No

2. HAS FINJAN PROVEN BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE THAT SECURE COMPUTING HAS DIRECTLY INFRINGED ANY CLAIM OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,804,780 ('780 PATENT)?

Answer the following question regarding infringement of the '780 patent with "Yes" or "No." A "Yes" is a finding for Finjan. A "No" is a finding for Secure Computing.

(A)	Claim 1	Yes	No
(B)	Claim 2	Yes	No

(C)	Claim 3	Yes	No
(D)	Claim 4	Yes	No
(E)	Claim 5	Yes	No
(F)	Claim 6	Yes	No
(G)	Claim 7	Yes	No
(H)	Claim 8	Yes	No
(I)	Claim 9	Yes	No
(J)	Claim 10	Yes	No
(K)	Claim 11	Yes	No
(L)	Claim 12	Yes	No
(M)	Claim 13	Yes	No
(N)	Claim 14	Yes	No
(O)	Claim 15	Yes	No
(P)	Claim 16	Yes	No
(Q)	Claim 17	Yes	No
(R)	Claim 18	Yes	No

3. HAS FINJAN PROVEN BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE THAT SECURE COMPUTING HAS DIRECTLY INFRINGED ANY CLAIM OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,058,822 ('822 PATENT)?

Answer the following question regarding infringement of the '822 patent with "Yes" or "No." A "Yes" is a finding for Finjan. A "No" is a finding for Secure Computing.

(A)	Claim 1	Y es	
(B)	Claim 2	Yes	No
(C)	Claim 4	Yes	No
(D)	Claim 6	Yes	No
(E)	Claim 8	Yes	No

(F)	Claim 9	Yes	No
(G)	Claim 12	Yes	No
(H)	Claim 13	Yes	No
(I)	Claim 15	Yes	No
(J)	Claim 16	Yes	No
(K)	Claim 17	Yes	No
(L)	Claim 18	Yes	No
(M)	Claim 20	Yes	No
(N)	Claim 21	Yes	No
(O)	Claim 22	Yes	No
(P)	Claim 24	Yes	No
(Q)	Claim 26	Yes	No
(R)	Claim 27	Yes	No
(S)	Claim 28	Yes	No
(T)	Claim 29	Yes	No
(U)	Claim 31	Yes	No
(V)	Claim 32	Yes	No
(W)	Claim 34	Yes	No
(X)	Claim 35	Yes	No

4. HAS SECURE COMPUTING PROVEN THAT FINJAN'S INFRINGEMENT CLAIMS ARE BARRED BY THE DOCTRINE OF PATENT EXHAUSTION?

Answer the following question regarding patent exhaustion with a	"Yes" or	"No."	A
"Yes" is a finding for Secure Computing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.			

Yes	No

5. HAS SECURE COMPUTING PROVEN THAT FINJAN'S INFRINGEMENT CLAIMS ARE BARRED BY LICENSE OR RELEASE?

Answer the following question regarding license or release with a "Ye	es" or	"No."	\boldsymbol{A}
"Yes" is a finding for Secure Computing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.			

Yes No

HAS SECURE COMPUTING PROVEN BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE THAT FINJAN HAS DIRECTLY INFRINGED ANY CLAIM OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,185,361 ('361 PATENT)?

Answer the following question regarding infringement of the '361 patent with "Yes" or "No." A "Yes" is a finding for Secure Computing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.

(A)	Claim 1	Yes	No

Case 1:06-cv-00369-GMS

- (B) Claim 2 Yes No
- (C) Claim 3 Yes_____ No____
- Yes_____ No____ (D) Claim 4
- Claim 5 Yes_____ No____ (E)
- (F) Claim 7 Yes No
- Yes __ No____ Claim 8 (G)
- Yes____No___ (H) Claim 9
- Yes No (I) Claim 10
- (J) Claim 11 Yes_____ No____
- Yes_____ No____ (K) Claim 12
- (L) Claim 14 Yes____ No___
- (M) Claim 15 Yes No

HAS SECURE COMPUTING PROVEN BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE THAT FINJAN HAS DIRECTLY INFRINGED ANY CLAIM OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,357,010 ('010 PATENT)?

Answer the following question regarding infringement of the '010 patent with "Yes" or "No." A "Yes" is a finding for Secure Computing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.

Yes ____ No____ (A) Claim 37

HAS SECURE COMPUTING PROVEN BY A PREPONDERANCE OF 8. THE EVIDENCE THAT FINJAN HAS CONTRIBUTORILY INFRINGED OR INDUCED INFRINGEMENT OF ANY CLAIM OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,185,361 ('361 PATENT)?

Answer the following question regarding infringement of the '361 patent with "Yes" or "No." A "Yes" is a finding for Secure Computing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.

(A)	Claim 1	Yes	No
(B)	Claim 2	Yes	No
(C)	Claim 3	Yes	No
(D)	Claim 4	Yes	No
(E)	Claim 5	Yes	No
(F)	Claim 7	Yes	No
(G)	Claim 8	Yes	No
(H)	Claim 9	Yes	No
(I)	Claim 10	Yes	No
(J)	Claim 11	Yes	No
(K)	Claim 12	Yes	No
(L)	Claim 14	Yes	No
(M)	Claim 15	Yes	No

HAS SECURE COMPUTING PROVEN BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE THAT FINJAN HAS CONTRIBUTORILY INFRINGED OR INDUCED INFRINGEMENT OF ANY CLAIM OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,357,010 ('010 PATENT)?

Answer the following question regarding infringement of the '010 patent with "Yes" or "No." A "Yes" is a finding for Secure Computing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.

Yes_____ No____ (A) Claim 37

IF YOU FOUND THAT SECURE COMPUTING INFRINGED ANY CLAIM OF ANY OF THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT, HAS FINJAN PROVEN BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT SECURE COMPUTING'S INFRINGEMENT WAS WILLFUL?

Answer the following	question regarding willful infringement with a "Yes" or "	'No." A
"Yes" is a finding for Finjan.	A "No" is a finding for Secure Computing.	

Yes No

IF YOU FOUND THAT FINJAN INFRINGED ANY CLAIM OF ANY OF 11. THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT, HAS SECURE COMPUTING PROVEN BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT FINJAN'S INFRINGEMENT WAS WILLFUL?

Answer the following question regarding willful infringement with a "Yes" or "No." A "Yes" is a finding for Secure Computing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.

> No____ Yes

INVALIDITY

HAS SECURE COMPUTING PROVEN BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING 12. EVIDENCE THAT THE FOLLOWING CLAIMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,092,194 ('194 PATENT) ARE INVALID ON THE GROUND OF ANTICIPATION?

Answer the following question regarding validity of the '194 Patent with a "Yes" or "No." A "Yes" is a finding for Secure Computing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.

(A)	Claim 1	Yes	No
(B)	Claim 2	Yes	No
(C)	Claim 3	Yes	No
(D)	Claim 4	Yes	No
(E)	Claim 5	Yes	No
(F)	Claim 6	Yes	No
(G)	Claim 7	Yes	No
(H)	Claim 8	Yes	No
(I)	Claim 9	Yes	No
(J)	Claim 10	Yes	No

Yes____ No____

Yes_____ No____

Yes No____

Yes_____ No____

Yes____ No____

Yes No

Yes____ No____

separately for each claim the specific art you find anticipates

PATENT) ARE INVALID ON THE GROUND OF ANTICIPATION?

"No." A "Yes" is a finding for Secure Computing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.

Yes No____

If you found any claim of the '194 patent invalid by reason of anticipation, state

HAS SECURE COMPUTING PROVEN BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING

EVIDENCE THAT THE FOLLOWING CLAIMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,804,780 ('780

Answer the following question regarding validity of the '780 Patent with a "Yes" or

-8-

(U)

(V)

(W)

(X)

(Y)

(Z)

13.

(A)

MP3 20255791.1

Claim 1

Claim 30

Claim 32

Claim 33

Claim 34

Claim 35

Claim 36

(AA) Claim 65

Yes_____ No____

Yes No____

Yes____ No___

If you found any claim of the '780 patent invalid by reason of anticipation, state

HAS SECURE COMPUTING PROVEN BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING

separately for each claim the specific art you find anticipates

EVIDENCE THAT THE FOLLOWING CLAIMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,058,822 ('822

Answer the following question regarding validity of the '822 Patent with a "Yes" or

PATENT) ARE INVALID ON THE GROUND OF ANTICIPATION?

"No." A "Yes" is a finding for Secure Computing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.

(P)

(Q)

(R)

14.

Claim 16

Claim 17

Claim 18

(B)	Claim 2	Yes	No
(C)	Claim 4	Yes	No
(D)	Claim 6	Yes	No
(E)	Claim 8	Yes	No
(F)	Claim 9	Yes	No
(G)	Claim 12	Yes	No
(H)	Claim 13	Yes	No
(I)	Claim 15	Yes	No
(J)	Claim 16	Yes	No
(K)	Claim 17	Yes	No
(L)	Claim 18	Yes	No
(M)	Claim 20	Yes	No
(N)	Claim 21	Yes	No
(O)	Claim 22	Yes	No
(P)	Claim 24	Yes	No
(Q)	Claim 26	Yes	No
(R)	Claim 27	Yes	No
(S)	Claim 28	Yes	No
(T)	Claim 29	Yes	No
(U)	Claim 31	Yes	No
(V)	Claim 32	Yes	No
(W)	Claim 34	Yes	No
(X)	Claim 35	Yes	No

		If you found a	nny claim of the	e '822 patent invalid by reason of anticipation, state
separat	telv for	·	•	u find anticipates
	,		-r	
		FOLLOWING	G CLAIMS OI	Y CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE F U.S. PATENT NO. 7,185,361 ('361 PATENT) ANTICIPATION?
"No."		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	*	rding validity of the '361 Patent with a "Yes" or "No" is a finding for Secure Computing.
	(A)	Claim 1	Yes	No
	(B)	Claim 2	Yes	No
	(C)	Claim 3	Yes	No
	(D)	Claim 4	Yes	No
	(E)	Claim 5	Yes	No
	(F)	Claim 7	Yes	No
	(G)	Claim 8	Yes	No
	(H)	Claim 9	Yes	No
	(I)	Claim 10	Yes	No
	(J)	Claim 11	Yes	No
	(K)	Claim 12	Yes	No
	(L)	Claim 14	Yes	No
	(M)	Claim 15	Yes	No
		FOLLOWING	G CLAIMS O	Y CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE F U.S. PATENT NO. 6,357,010 ('010 PATENT) ANTICIPATION?
"No."	A "Ye	es" is a finding	for Finjan. A	rding validity of the '010 Patent with a "Yes" or "No" is a finding for Secure Computing.
	(4)	Claim 27	Vac	No

17. HAS SECURE COMPUTING PROVEN BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT THE FOLLOWING CLAIMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,092,194 ('194 PATENT) ARE INVALID ON THE GROUND OF OBVIOUSNESS?

Answer the following question regarding validity of the '194 patent with a "Yes" or "No." A "Yes" is a finding for Secure Computing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.

(A)	Claim 1	Yes	No
(B)	Claim 2	Yes	No
(C)	Claim 3	Yes	No
(D)	Claim 4	Yes	No
(E)	Claim 5	Yes	No
(F)	Claim 6	Yes	No
(G)	Claim 7	Yes	No
(H)	Claim 8	Yes	No
(I)	Claim 9	Yes	No
(J)	Claim 10	Yes	No
(K)	Claim 11	Yes	No
(L)	Claim 12	Yes	No
(M)	Claim 13	Yes	No
(N)	Claim 14	Yes	No
(O)	Claim 24	Yes	No
(P)	Claim 25	Yes	No
(Q)	Claim 26	Yes	No
(R)	Claim 27	Yes	No
(S)	Claim 28	Yes	No
(T)	Claim 29	Yes	No
αn	Claim 30	Yes	No

(V)	Claim 32	Yes	No
(W)	Claim 33	Yes	No
(X)	Claim 34	Yes	No
(Y)	Claim 35	Yes	No
(Z)	Claim 36	Yes	No
(AA)	Claim 65	Yes	No
	If you found a	my claim of the	e '194 patent invalid by reason of obvious

If you found any claim of the '194 patent invalid by reason of obviousness, state separately for each claim the specific art you find invalidates

18. HAS SECURE COMPUTING PROVEN BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT THE FOLLOWING CLAIMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,804,780 ('780 PATENT) ARE INVALID ON THE GROUND OF OBVIOUSNESS?

Answer the following question regarding validity of the '780 patent with a "Yes" or "No." A "Yes" is a finding for Secure Computing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.

(A)	Claim 1	Yes	No
(B)	Claim 2	Yes	No
(C)	Claim 3	Yes	No
(D)	Claim 4	Yes	No
(E)	Claim 5	Yes	No
(F)	Claim 6	Yes	No
(G)	Claim 7	Yes	No
(H)	Claim 8	Yes	No
(I)	Claim 9	Yes	No
(J)	Claim 10	Yes	No
(K)	Claim 11	Yes	No
(L)	Claim 12	Yes	No

((M)	Claim 13	Yes	No
,	(N)	Claim 14	Yes	No
ı	(O)	Claim 15	Yes	No
!	(P)	Claim 16	Yes	No
:	(Q)	Claim 17	Yes	No
	(R)	Claim 18	Yes	No
		If you found a	ny claim of the	e '780 patent invalid by reason of obviousness, state
separately for each claim the specific art you find invalidates				

19. HAS SECURE COMPUTING PROVEN BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT THE FOLLOWING CLAIMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,058,822 ('822 PATENT) ARE INVALID ON THE GROUND OF OBVIOUSNESS?

Answer the following question regarding validity of the '822 patent with a "Yes" or "No." A "Yes" is a finding for Secure Computing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.

(A)	Claim 1	Yes	No
(B)	Claim 2	Yes	No
(C)	Claim 4	Yes	No
(D)	Claim 6	Yes	No
(E)	Claim 8	Yes	No
(F)	Claim 9	Yes	No
(G)	Claim 12	Yes	No
(H)	Claim 13	Yes	No
(I)	Claim 15	Yes	No
(J)	Claim 16	Yes	No
(K)	Claim 17	Yes	No
(L)	Claim 18	Yes	No

THAT THE FOLLOWING CLAIMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,185,361 ('361 PATENT) ARE INVALID ON THE GROUND OF OBVIOUSNESS?

"No." A "Yes" is a finding for Finjan. A "No" is a finding for Secure Computing.

(A)	Claim 1	Yes	No
(B)	Claim 2	Yes	No
(C)	Claim 3	Yes	No
(D)	Claim 4	Yes	No
(E)	Claim 5	Yes	No
(F)	Claim 7	Yes	No

(C)	Claim 3	Yes	No
(D)	Claim 4	Yes	No
(E)	Claim 5	Yes	No
(F)	Claim 6	Yes	No
(G)	Claim 7	Yes	No
(H)	Claim 8	Yes	No
(I)	Claim 9	Yes	No
(J)	Claim 10	Yes	No
(K)	Claim 11	Yes	No
(L)	Claim 12	Yes	No
(M)	Claim 13	Yes	No
(N)	Claim 14	Yes	No
(O)	Claim 24	Yes	No
(P)	Claim 25	Yes	No
(Q)	Claim 26	Yes	No
(R)	Claim 27	Yes	No
(S)	Claim 28	Yes	No
(T)	Claim 29	Yes	No
(U)	Claim 30	Yes	No
(V)	Claim 32	Yes	No
(W)	Claim 33	Yes	No
(X)	Claim 34	Yes	No
(Y)	Claim 35	Yes	No
(Z)	Claim 36	Yes	No
(AA)	Claim 65	Yes	No

HAS SECURE COMPUTING PROVEN BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING 23. EVIDENCE THAT THE FOLLOWING CLAIMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,804,780 ('780 PATENT) ARE INVALID FOR LACK OF ENABLEMENT?

Answer the following question regarding validity of the '780' patent with a "Yes" or "No." A "Yes" is a finding for Secure Computing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.

(A)	Claim 1	Yes	No
(B)	Claim 2	Yes	No
(C)	Claim 3	Yes	No
(D)	Claim 4	Yes	No
(E)	Claim 5	Yes	No
(F)	Claim 6	Yes	No
(G)	Claim 7	Yes	No
(H)	Claim 8	Yes	No
(I)	Claim 9	Yes	No
(J)	Claim 10	Yes	No
(K)	Claim 11	Yes	No
(L)	Claim 12	Yes	No
(M)	Claim 13	Yes	No
(N)	Claim 14	Yes	No
(O)	Claim 15	Yes	No
(P)	Claim 16	Yes	No
(Q)	Claim 17	Yes	No
(R)	Claim 18	Yes	No

24. HAS SECURE COMPUTING PROVEN BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT THE FOLLOWING CLAIMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 67,058,822 ('822 PATENT) ARE INVALID FOR LACK OF ENABLEMENT?

Answer the following question regarding validity of the '822 patent with a "Yes" or "No." A "Yes" is a finding for Secure Computing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.

(A)	Claim 1	Yes	No
(B)	Claim 2	Yes	No
(C)	Claim 4	Yes	No
(D)	Claim 6	Yes	No
(E)	Claim 8	Yes	No
(F)	Claim 9	Yes	No
(G)	Claim 12	Yes	No
(H)	Claim 13	Yes	No
(I)	Claim 15	Yes	No
(J)	Claim 16	Yes	No
(K)	Claim 17	Yes	No
(L)	Claim 18	Yes	No
(M)	Claim 20	Yes	No
(N)	Claim 21	Yes	No
(O)	Claim 22	Yes	No
(P)	Claim 24	Yes	No
(Q)	Claim 26	Yes	No
(R)	Claim 27	Yes	No
(S)	Claim 28	Yes	No
(T)	Claim 29	Yes	No
(U)	Claim 31	Yes	No

	(V)	Claim 32	Yes	No
	(W)	Claim 34	Yes	No
	(X)	Claim 35	Yes	No
		THAT THE I		ING PROVEN BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING CLAIMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,092,194 ('194 INITENESS?
"No."			/ ·	ording validity of the '194 patent with a "Yes" or inputing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.
	(A)	Claim 8	Yes	No
	(B)	Claim 9	Yes	No
	(C)	Claim 10	Yes	No
	(D)	Claim 11	Yes	No
PATE	ENT) A Answe	THAT THE I RE INVALID er the following	FOLLOWING FOR INDEF	ING PROVEN BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING CLAIMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 67,058,822 (*822 INITENESS? Triding validity of the '822 patent with a "Yes" or imputing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.
	(A)	Claim 9	Yes	No
	(B)	Claim 12	Yes	No
	(C)	Claim 13	Yes	No
	(D)	Claim 28	Yes	No
		THAT THE I	FOLLOWING	ING PROVEN BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING CLAIMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,092,194 ('194 QUATE WRITTEN DESCRIPTION?
"No.'		,	,	arding validity of the '194 patent with a "Yes" or mputing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.
	(A)	Claim 1	Yes	No
	(B)	Claim 2	Yes	No
	(C)	Claim 3	Yes	No

(D)	Claim 4	Yes	No
(E)	Claim 5	Yes	No
(F)	Claim 6	Yes	No
(G)	Claim 7	Yes	No
(H)	Claim 8	Yes	No
(I)	Claim 9	Yes	No
(J)	Claim 10	Yes	No
(K)	Claim 11	Yes	No
(L)	Claim 12	Yes	No
(M)	Claim 13	Yes	No
(N)	Claim 14	Yes	No
(O)	Claim 24	Yes	No
(P)	Claim 25	Yes	No
(Q)	Claim 26	Yes	No
(R)	Claim 27	Yes	No
(S)	Claim 28	Yes	No
(T)	Claim 29	Yes	No
(U)	Claim 30	Yes	No
(V)	Claim 32	Yes	No
(W)	Claim 33	Yes	No
(X)	Claim 34	Yes	No
(Y)	Claim 35	Yes	No
(Z)	Claim 36	Yes	No
(AA)	Claim 65	Yes	No

28. HAS SECURE COMPUTING PROVEN BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT THE FOLLOWING CLAIMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,804,780 ('780 PATENT) ARE INVALID FOR INADEQUATE WRITTEN DESCRIPTION?

Answer the following question regarding validity of the '780' patent with a "Yes" or "No." A "Yes" is a finding for Secure Computing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan

(A)	Claim 1	Yes	No
(B)	Claim 2	Yes	No
(C)	Claim 3	Yes	No
(D)	Claim 4	Yes	No
(E)	Claim 5	Yes	No
(F)	Claim 6	Yes	No
(G)	Claim 7	Yes	No
(H)	Claim 8	Yes	No
(I)	Claim 9	Yes	No
(J)	Claim 10	Yes	No
(K)	Claim 11	Yes	No
(L)	Claim 12	Yes	No
(M)	Claim 13	Yes	No
(N)	Claim 14	Yes	No
(O)	Claim 15	Yes	No
(P)	Claim 16	Yes	No
(Q)	Claim 17	Yes	No
(R)	Claim 18	Yes	No

29. HAS SECURE COMPUTING PROVEN BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT THE FOLLOWING CLAIMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 67,058,822 ('822 PATENT) ARE INVALID FOR INADEQUATE WRITTEN DESCRIPTION?

Answer the following question regarding validity of the '822 patent with a "Yes" or "No." A "Yes" is a finding for Secure Computing. A "No" is a finding for Finjan.

(A)	Claim 1	Yes	No
(B)	Claim 2	Yes	No
(C)	Claim 4	Yes	No
(D)	Claim 6	Yes	No
(E)	Claim 8	Yes	No
(F)	Claim 9	Yes	No
(G)	Claim 12	Yes	No
(H)	Claim 13	Yes	No
(I)	Claim 15	Yes	No
(J)	Claim 16	Yes	No
(K)	Claim 17	Yes	No
(L)	Claim 18	Yes	No
(M)	Claim 20	Yes	No
(N)	Claim 21	Yes	No
(O)	Claim 22	Yes	No
(P)	Claim 24	Yes	No
(Q)	Claim 26	Yes	No
(R)	Claim 27	Yes	No
(S)	Claim 28	Yes	No
(T)	Claim 29	Yes	No
(Π)	Claim 31	Yes	No

33. IF YOU FIND ANY OF THE CLAIMS OF ANY OF THE FINJAN PATENTS IN SUIT ARE INFRINGED, VALID, AND ENFORCEABLE, WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF REVENUE RECEIVED BY SECURE COMPUTING TO WHICH THE ROYALTY RATE SHOULD BE APPLIED?

\$_____

34.	IF YOU FIND	ANY OF THE	CLAIMS OF ANY	OF THE SECURE	
COMPUTIN	IG PATENTS IN	SUIT ARE INI	FRINGED AND V	ALID, WHAT IS THE	
AMOUNT O	F REVENUE R	ECEIVED BY F	INJAN TO WHI	CH THE ROYALTY RAT	Œ
SHOULD BI	E APPLIED?				

	\$ 	
Dated:		
	Jury Fo	reperson

840936

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Philip A. Rovner, hereby certify that on January 7, 2008, the within document was filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following; that the document was served on the following counsel as indicated; and that the document is available for viewing and downloading from CM/ECF.

BY HAND DELIVERY AND E-MAIL

Frederick L. Cottrell, III, Esq. Kelly E. Farnan, Esq. Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A. One Rodney Square 920 N. King Street Wilmington, DE 19801 cottrell@rlf.com; farnan@rlf.com

I hereby certify that on January 7, 2008 I have sent by E-mail the foregoing document to the following non-registered participants:

Jake M. Holdreith, Esq.
Christopher A. Seidl, Esq.
Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi L.L.P.
2800 LaSalle Plaza
800 LaSalle Avenue
Minneapolis, MN 55402
jmholdreith@rkmc.com; caseidl@rkmc.com

/s/ Philip A. Rovner

Philip A. Rovner (#3215)
Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP
Hercules Plaza
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, Delaware 19899
(302) 984-6000
E-mail: provner@potteranderson.com